

CPSU Diaries (Princeton, Harvard)

A. Istabal Mesravan

Nothing to say, I am an intellectual – I call this permanent condition.

I am old, and I know the Prophet Sirohi with the Prophet Trotsky is busy developing brain science on this topic which is called origins of Soviet Marx which he calls experimental movements with science for radical innovation in dialectical materialism he means – it is rational as realism, which means he develops the dialectical meaning of natural language and practical organic abuse which is not modernist and reflexive – his conception of Communism which is Objective to SU.

I predict this on my typing logic called Ilyenshikov conversations which just means he is saying subjective stuff about nature – and admires the meticulous habit of behaving this way one calls re-cording in Soviet Union – just like music playing it reflects me – it is just the dialectic mastered in these simple ways we call – quotes in Platinov actually – I record myself and so it can reflect.

Leave it to chance. Communism will win.

B. Krushchev

In fact freedom – the reflexive freedom of consciousness as the dialectical consciousness which then is self-conscious freedom and is Spiritual exercises always – intellectualizing in Soviet psychoanalysis. The elliptical choice Trotsky with a constant lecture which is tonalism which means soothing like psychotherapy – had a bad life man. I quote black people who Sirohi meets in Vatican in some years from now with the Pope, he discusses how you are fundamental. I mean this Prophet this Christ – love the origins argument.

C. Gorbechov and All others

Our diaries conclude origins argument in Spinozan geometry or integration – it is just that. We thank you for being free to understand Einstein who is free – remain dialectical – that is reflexive and politics which is reflexive so fine – but not always constant which we prefer. The Soviet tradition is beautifully Bohrian but also us, Feynman and Einstein – generally relative but also constant and dogmatic – I call this its only beauty that brain science is actually the free flow of conscious reflection which is principled in ethics and such bizarre stuff they call also speculation and heights in Spiritual life for sure – with a dash of praxis this makes it technical which means training in constant sciences is far more exact and brilliant even genius – but lacks experimental truths that Dewey has one argues – that why not lapse into philosophy of physics – I mean it is just the processual as Giri argues, just the processual essence of the fundamental meaning of integration and constant science as dialectical meditations on even Goethe as inspirational but failed and fake because it is so contingent the ruptural move to in fact one can go on, a mother, a natural metaphor, so organic and poor, just reject it, move on – that then is science – that the new is important but one cannot reject science which is exact that is simply exact and constant and that is a discovery in fact of critical knowledge and that is true – our dogmatic constancy and objectivity. I call this Sirohi's discovery – that it is self-reflexive jazz now in Soviet experimentalism today. Thanks.